A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
 COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



29th April 2016

2

3

4

5

6

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 52 No. 17

IN THIS ISSUE

Constitutional Power over Money-System Tranferred to Banks Religious Groups Join anti-Slavery Movement by Betty Luks World Bank Orders Venezuela to Pay Crystallex \$1.4 Billion for Gold Mine Proposed Changes to Senate Voting Will Sharply Restrict Voter's Choices Faith is the Substance of Things Hoped For... Light Leaders of Darkness

ON TARGET



THE QUEEN'S 90th BIRTHDAY

The Queen with her five great-grandchildren and her two youngest grandchildren. (Photo: Facebook/The British Monarchy/Annie Leibovitz)

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: WHAT A BUNCH OF JOLLY JOKERS THEY ARE by Betty Luks: There is talk of yet another Royal Commission into banking: "Three more Nationals MPs, including a dumped former minister, have left the door open to supporting a royal commission into the banking and finance sector, ramping up pressure on Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull to hold the inquiry and highlighting divisions in the Coalition over the issue...On Sunday, cabinet ministers Peter Dutton and Josh Frydenberg as well as Mr Turnbull pushed back against the inquiry, with the prime minister describing it as a "thought bubble" and arguing banks and financial services were already heavily regulated".

"[ASIC] has all of the powers to inquire of a royal commission but it's got the powers to prosecute and to take action, which it is doing. It has many current actions on the books at the moment. It has banned people from in the industry, it has enacted fines, it is a very active regulator," he said.

Source: http://www.smh.com.au/federal-politics/political-news/pressure-on-turnbull-over-banks-as-three-more-nats-mps-speak-out-on-royal-commission-20160410-go2wcp.html#ixzz45TwO41Gt **But what is their intention?** While we support moves to bring the banks into line where there is criminal activity, the power of the banking system over the control of the nation's financial system is another matter altogether.***

CONSTITUTIONAL POWER OVER MONEY-SYSTEM TRANSFERRED TO BANKS Jeremy Lee wrote of the sell-out by politicians earlier:

In 1937 - in the middle of the great Depression - the Federal government set up a Royal Commission into Finance and Banking. In his summary, the Chairman, Sir Mellis Napier said: "... That the Commonwealth Bank *(i.e. at the time a government-owned peoples' bank)* can make money available to governments or to others on such terms as it chooses even by way of a loan without interest or even without requiring interest or repayment of principal..."

But, like every economy in the world, Australia has now transferred its constitutional power to create its own money into private hands. And the same private hands have indebted Australians, and hold mastery over the people and their governments. To quote a former A.L.P. Federal Treasurer, one-time deputy Prime Minister Dr Jim Cairns, in his book "Oil in Troubled Waters": "... I want first to explain how money is obtained or supplied in a capitalist economy. Banks certainly create credit or, more exactly, they create money. Creation of money by banks is a simple process... The banks, therefore, can create deposits by lending to customers... within very wide limits, the trading banks decide how much or little to lend and who to lend it to... Not only have the banks power to create money within wide limits, but they do so.

As well as determining the total volume of money, the banks decide also to whom they will lend. It is obvious they will prefer rich and powerful people and the companies associated with them; they will prefer 'old customers', and they will not be too keen to lend to poorer people, to 'battlers', or to persons or even companies who may be competitive with their associates. The power to create money and to decide who should get it is a vast and significant social and economic power and for this reason, the Labor movement has always believed it should not be a privately owned power but be exercised solely by a public or peoples' bank..."

That, for all its sins, was the old Labor Party in Australia – the party of Andrew Fisher and King O'Malley, of John Curtin and Ben Chifley; of national sovereignty and Australian ownership and mateship. Many people find it difficult to comprehend that the old Labor movement was gradually captured after World War II by a totally different idea – international Marxism.

The new Labor used the same words, but had never had a blister on their hands. They weren't 'workers', or patriots, but international academics. They followed Marx's belief that the Debt System would finally destroy Capitalism and deliver the Marxists a global slave state.

Source: http://www.alor.org/Library/Lee%20J%20-20Mending%20a%20 Mortgaged%20World.html Many Royal Commissions have been conducted into the banking system in countries such as Australia (1937); Britain (1920); Canada (1939); and New Zealand (1955). In every case it was admitted, often under crossexamination, that banks create what they lend. For example - Mr. H.W. White, Chairman of the Associated Banks of N.Z.: 'There is no secret about banking, there is no secret about banks creating money.'

Mr. Graham Towers, Governor of the Central Bank of Canada: 'Each and every time a bank makes a loan.... new bank credit is created - new deposits - brand new money.'

Source: On Target 27 August 1993

http://alor.org/Volume29/Vol29No33.htm

The Australian Finance and Banking Industry - in whose interest?

"... After the election of the Hawke/Keating Government in 1983, the deregulation of the banking system became a high priority; the internationalisation of the Australian economy. Sixteen new banking licenses were offered, some to huge international banks. The Australian dollar was 'floated' (except that it sank like a stone). Conveniently, this was at the time that the international banking groups were buying their Australian assets - they got them for about half price. It is significant that Keating, only days before the election, opposed deregulation.

"The Age", February 1983 records Keating saying: "As to the argument that foreign bank entry will increasingly link Australia to the general instability of the international banking system, and lessen a Federal Government's control over domestic monetary policy, there is no doubt that this must be the case...." Keating added that foreign bank entry would "inevitably" push up interest rates. He was right!

After becoming Treasurer, and visiting Wall St., New York, Keating totally changed his tune on deregulation. No reason for this reversal was ever given..." **Source:** http://www.alor.org/Bankwatch/Library/OperationBankWatch.htm

BUT LET'S BE QUITE CLEAR ON THE MATTER Please, please, don't let those 'Jolly Jokers' tell you banks are 'only intermediaries' of other people's money. That blatant 'furphy' has been well and truly exposed for what it is.

Further Evidence: The exact words of a working paper from the Bank of England states "In the real world, banks provide financing through money creation..."

Bank of England Working Paper No. 529 [May 2015] "Banks are not intermediaries of loanable funds and why this matters" by Zoltan Jakab and Michael

(continued on next page)

ON TARGET

(continued from previous page) Extract from working paper No 529

In the **intermediation of loanable funds model of banking,** banks accept deposits of pre-existing real resources from savers and then lend them to borrowers.

In the real world, banks provide financing through money creation. That is they create deposits of new money through lending, and in doing so are mainly constrained by profitability and solvency considerations.

This paper contrasts simple intermediation and financing models of banking.

Compared to otherwise identical intermediation models, and following identical shocks, financing models predict changes in bank lending that are far larger, happen much faster, and have much greater effects on the real economy. *(all emphasis-ed)*

Ref: http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/research/pages/ workingpapers/2015/wp529.aspx

RELIGIOUS GROUPS JOIN ANTI-SLAVERY MOVEMENT by Betty Luks

The Lutheran, December 2015, reported 15 Australian religious organisations and communities were now supporting a global campaign to end slavery. **But what about the wage-slaves and business-slaves in**

the western world?

Let's make sure we agree on what is 'slavery'. According to www.thefreedictionary.com/slavery slavery, bondage, servitude, refer to involuntary subjection to another or others.

slavery emphasizes the idea of complete ownership and control by a master:

to be sold into slavery:

bondage indicates a state of subjugation or captivity often involving burdensome and degrading labour:

noun: slavery - the state of being a slave.

"female domestic slavery": a condition of having to work very hard without proper remuneration or appreciation.

antonyms:freedom, liberty, emancipationsynonyms:drudgery, toil, (hard) slog, hard labour,grind, sweated labour;

Yes, there are nations where individuals are openly sold into slavery and a 'master' sees the individual as owned by him – more or less body and soul. But I am thinking more of the state of subjection of the western type.

Just back from a recent visit to his sister and family in America, a colleague wrote of his experience and impressions that left their marks. While some wording has been altered to ensure his privacy, the thrust of the letter remains the same:

To the EDITOR of THE AUSTRALIAN from Nigel Jackson

The federal government will be wise to reject calls to replace the plebiscite on 'same sex marriage' with a free vote. Rather, there are sound arguments for seeing the proposed change to the legal definition of marriage as being a matter of such great importance that a referendum, not a plebiscite, is the better way to go. Unlike a plebiscite, a referendum ensures that change does not occur unless there is clearly a substantial majority of citizens in favour. A very close 50-50 plebiscite result either way could lead to longterm ill feeling within the nation, to say nothing of charges of vote-rigging, media bias and political corruption. "I just came back from a visit with my sister and her husband (and two little girls)... My brother-in-law is a personal trainer who owns his own business - this is very much the 'free enterprise' ideal in action! However, to make the business run under existing financial conditions, he has to work from 6 am every morning (except Sunday) until 9 pm at night. There is no work-life balance and my nieces do not really see him during the week unless he can come home for lunch.

The little girls became quite attached to me because, unlike their father, I could spend much more time with them. Surely those who believe in and promote 'free enterprise' don't want to turn all of us into workaholics ... but that is often what it takes in order to succeed in running one's own business under the existing monopoly of credit."

Whether it is the small businessman, the farmer or truckowner, the manufacturer, or any other person trying to keep his head above water and survive under the present credit/debt monopoly he or she is not much better off than are those under slave conditions in other parts of the world. In fact some slaves may be very well fed and not have to work long hours.

One wonders if today's Christian church leaders ever spare a thought for the western wage-slaves or smallbusiness-slaves caught up in the world's fraudulent monopoly credit system? ***

Cost is not an issue for such a momentous matter. Special pleadings about 'negative impact' on gay people or a 'nasty and divisive public debate' should be seen as the insubstantial diversions they are. Public disenchantment with politicians generally means that a parliamentary vote is also an unacceptable procedure in this case. Talk of 'equality' involves a misapplication of an arithmetical concept to a complex religious and political context, as well as flying in the face of scientific facts. Overall, sections of the gay community seem to be engaging in an over-reach that is likely to rebound on them, which is a pity.

WORLD BANK ORDERS VENEZUELA TO PAY CRYSTALLEX \$1.4 BILLION FOR GOLD MINE by Pratap Chatterjee, CorpWatch Blog

The World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) has ordered the government of Venezuela to pay \$1.386 billion to Crystallex, a bankrupt Canadian gold mining company, for canceling a 2002 permit to mine for gold in the Imataca Forest Reserve.

Crystallex brought its arbitration claim to the ICSID in 2011 stating that Venezuela had violated the company's rights guaranteed under a bilateral treaty between Canada and Venezuela.

Even though most national courts refuse to hear community claims against companies for environmental or human rights abuses abroad, a number of international 'arbitration' courts routinely rule allowing companies to sue governments for investment 'rights' written into new bilateral and multilateral treaties. (ICSID alone is currently hearing 211 cases)

Imataca, one of four major pristine forest reserves in the country, is home to the indigenous Akawaio, Arawako, Karina, Pemon and Warao peoples. It also sits above what geologists believe to be the largest gold deposits in the continent worth some \$20 billion.

In recent years successive governments have extended environmental protection over most of the region but specifically excluded the gold mining area. This was despite regular protests by the indigenous communies to protect the area from environmental damage. "The forest is our home, our laboratory, our hospital, our university. It is the source of the knowledge we need to survive," Jose Gonzales, the coordinator of the Federation of Indigenous People of the State of Bolivar, Venezuela, said in an international appeal almost 20 years ago.

Over time, the area has been invaded by small scale diamond and gold miners who have destroyed almost a tenth of the forested area. For some 50 years, mining investors have also battled to gain access to two adjoining sites - Las Cristinas and Las Brisas - where they hope to use large scale open pit cyanide heap leach technology to extract the deep underground reserves of the precious metal.

Unfortunately for the mining companies, every deal has quickly become mired in a series of legal or political disputes. At one point Placer Dome, a Canadian multinational, had a joint venture to dig for gold at Las Cristinas with state-owned Corporacin Venezolana de Guayana (CVG), which they sold off for just \$50. Others like Chinese state investment company CITIC and Russian-Canadian miner Rusoro have also attempted to cut deals with Venezuela, only to ultimately fail.

In 2002, the government of Hugo Chavez handed the rights to mine for gold to Crystallex, but then in an about turn, decided to cancel the permit on environmental grounds in February 2011. Crystallex immediately filed an arbitration claim but was forced to declare bankruptcy later that year.

Earlier this week, the World Bank ruled in favor of Crystallex awarding the company \$1.2 billion plus almost \$200 million in interest. "We are pleased that the Tribunal has recognized Venezuela's unlawful expropriation of the company's investment in the Las Cristinas mining project," Robert Fung, Crystallex CEO said in a press release. "The company looks forward to collecting on the award on behalf of all of its stakeholders."

The court decision marks yet another milestone in corporate victories against national governments using international trade treaties. For example in 2014 Kazakhstan was ordered to pay Stans Energy of Canada \$118 million for a rare earths mine. And last year Mongolia was ordered to pay Khan Resources of Canada \$70 million for the Dornod uranium project. And Venezuela was ordered to pay \$740 million for canceling a permit issued by Gold Reserve Inc., also from Canada, for the Las Brisas mine. ***

DID YOU KNOW

The Queen has been married for 68 years - longer than any other British monarch.

Her coronation was the first to be televised and was watched by over 20 million people in the UK. There have been 12 UK prime ministers, seven Archbishops of Canterbury and seven Popes during her reign.

She has sat for more than 130 official portrait paintings. During her reign, she has visited 117 countries, travelling more than a million miles.

In 2012 she became the second British monarch to celebrate a diamond jubilee, and in 2015 became the UK's longest reigning monarch.

 "ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000
 Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677 Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

PROPOSED CHANGES TO SENATE VOTING WILL SHARPLY RESTRICT VOTER'S CHOICES

I am naturally suspiscious when politicians make an orchestrated strategic move, in this case with Senate voting. I am not alone it seems-ed Source: http://www.theage.com.au/comment/senate-voting-

changes--contemptuous-of-constitution-20160229-gn6809.html

Malcolm Mackerras

Late in the morning of Monday, February 22, there was made an announcement of the government's position on Senate reform. My first reaction was one of anger at the cynicism and misrepresentation of the facts displayed by Malcolm Turnbull and Senator Mathias Cormann.

On Thursday, February 25, I was invited by the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters to appear on Tuesday March 1 to be questioned, along with other experts.

Anticipating this request I completed a 23-page document which I sent to the committee on the Thursday. However, as the (perhaps) unwise comments of the last two pages will be interpreted by the politicians, I was still simmering with anger on that day.

It is my considered judgment, however, that Labor made the right call to oppose the Bill - but Labor has a problem which I lack.

My consistent sympathy with the Senate cross-bench (Nick Xenophon excepted) means I can say and write what I actually think. While I am of the view that Labor (Gary Gray excepted) has recently been saying sensible things, they still have a credibility problem.

Consequently, no one has any respect for them. Even those disgusted by the cynicism of Turnbull and Cormann may well still vote for them at the double dissolution election which I now predict will be held on July 2.

Having at last simmered down I offer this judgment. While my hostility to the Bill would remain, it would be diminished if they would restore the one element of the 2014 Report of the JSCEM of which I truly approved, namely optional preferential voting below the line.

(JSCEM: Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters-ed) By that I mean an elector in a state could vote 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 for candidates and still have it counted as a formal vote.

More important, however, is my judgment of what would happen in the event of a High Court challenge which I regard as a near-certainty – launched by South Australian Family First Senator Bob Day. ON TARGET

My lawyer friends advise me that the odds would favour the government if that simple right of below-the-line voting were given to the elector. If, by contrast, the present outrageous provision of the Bill (and of the present system) were retained, then the odds would be that the High Court would strike the legislation down as being unconstitutional.

Assuming this all goes through, and assuming the High Court gives it the OK, how would I describe the new system?

I describe the system operating from 1949 to 1983 as "the first Single Transferable Vote system". The method used from 1984 to 2014 I call "the second STV system". The one applying from 2016 into the future I would then call "the Party List system".

If the High Court upholds the constitutional validity of the legislation then the judges would use the legalistic talk to the effect that a vote for a party is a vote for its candidates, in the order decided by the party.

I do not buy that – but then my opinion does not matter. The constitution means what the judges say it means.

In any event the provision is found in section 7 which commands that: "The Senate shall be composed of senators for each State, directly chosen by the people of the State, voting as one electorate." Section 24 deals with the House of Representatives. They, too, are commanded to be "directly chosen by the people".

These provisions mean that the electoral system for choosing all our federal politicians must be candidate-based.

In my opinion the Commonwealth Electoral Amendment Bill as it now stands is breathtaking in its contempt for the constitution.

It is not about fairness. It is about the re-shaping of our party system. South Australia is to have a four-party system, Liberal on the right, Xenophon in the centre and Labor and Greens on the left. The rest of Australia is to have a three-party system, Coalition, Labor and Greens. There will be no independent senators, unless Jacquie Lambie can get a short term at a 2016 double dissolution election.

There will be only one benefit for voters. The ballot paper will be smaller.

Malcolm Mackerras is a visiting fellow at the Australian *** Catholic University's Canberra campus. 29th April 2016

In the Introduction to "A Third Testament" Malcolm Muggeridge wrote:

"It often happens that the reason for doing something only emerges clearly after it has been done, conscious intent and all the various practicalities which go therewith being but the tip of an iceberg of unconscious intent. In any case, as has often been pointed out, time itself is a continuum, and not divisible into past, present and future tenses.

"Thus, it was only after the completion of the (1974) series of television programs whose scripts are here collected, when I was asked to explain why I had chosen Saint Augustine, Blaise Pascal, William Blake, Søren Kierkegaard, Fyodor Dostoevsky, Leo Tolstoy and Dietrich Bonhoeffer to be their subjects, that I fully grasped the theme to which they all belonged. Previously, I had seen them singly and separately as seven characters in search of God, and as such of great interest, and a formative influence in my own thinking and questing.

"Considering them as a group, it became clear to me that, although they were all quintessentially men of their time, they had a special role in common, which was none other than to relate their time to eternity. This has to be done every so often; otherwise, when the lure of self-sufficiency proves too strong, or despair too overwhelming, we forget that men need to be called back to God to rediscover humility and with it, hope."

Muggeridge reminds his readers, "The New Testament, is concerned with how God, through the Incarnation, became His own prophet."

It is the role of Count Leo Tolstoy (1828–1910) in the history of Russia that is of interest and Muggeridge discusses this role in the following words: "Contrary to the expectations of Marx, Engels and other pundits, the first rumblings of a new age of revolution were to be heard in distant, backward Russia. And it was the great Russian novelist Count Leo Tolstoy who, as it turned out, detected and responded to them most perceptively.

"Like Augustine confronted with the fall of Rome, Tolstoy set himself to defend the Christian faith in order that it might survive the troubled years that lay ahead. Where Augustine shored up a Church, Tolstoy turned to the Gospels themselves, in his own words and stories beautifully expounding their message of the Kingdom of Heaven within us. "In Tolstoy's case, the miracle is clear for all to see. After more than half a century of authoritarian government bent on extirpating the Christian religion and all its work, Christ is alive in Russia as nowhere else. For this, the works of Tolstoy are in a large part responsible; Alexander Solzhenitsyn stands on his shoulders.

"Tolstoy's parables are, to me, the most artistically beautiful and powerful in their impact since the original ones in the New Testament. So, by some great miracle, the promise in the first chapter of the fourth Gospel remains valid, even in the modern world's first overtly atheistic state. Thanks to Tolstoy, the Word goes on becoming flesh even there, full of grace and truth." That was in the 20th century and now in the 21st century it is to Russia many western Christians are looking for inspiration and revival.

Wallace Klinck's candid remarks summed up the situation in the West:

"A sad state of affairs, due primarily to the impotency of a people who have been drawn aside, lost their way and have no guideposts. Major C. H. Douglas said that in his view the most crucial challenge that faces us is the question of the Incarnation - applying Christian principles to the organic affairs of mankind - giving "flesh" to the Word.

"The 'Christian' Churches in general have failed miserably in this task - having transcendentalizd the Word and making it of no apparent practical relevance in the lives of the people. We were told two-thousand years ago that He would send the Holy Spirit and that "the Kingdom is within you" - not abstracted to some hypothetical locale in outer space or remote time. Douglas opined that society is primarily metaphysical and that it is from philosophy or belief that policy flows. Every policy, he claimed, can be traced back to its antecedent philosophy. From this perspective, we live in an anti-Christian Dispensation."

L. D. Byrne explained 'The Dynamic of Faith' in the booklet "Faith Power and Action":

"Faith is Power in a very real sense. Though the term is used loosely, Faith is not mere belief or trust, although these can be evidence of Faith. Faith is the "knowledge" of Reality acquired by an inner spiritual experience. It is this knowledge of Reality that brings the human Mind into communion with the Universal Mind and the One Source of ALL Power - God. Faith—whether instinctive or consciously experienced—must find expression in action. In fact it is the dynamic of human action." ***

Do Western Christians have that 'dynamic of Faith'? And will that Faith translate into action in time to save this nation of ours?

'LIGHT' LEADERS OF DARKNESS

E.J. Pankhurst's 1951 warning - "Light Leaders of Darkness" (*The Social Crediter Vol. 26. No.6.*)

"I have now given you at some length all too brief extracts in a survey of the satanic subversive forces at work in or acting upon society. We must also remember that many of these ideas are being actually propagated by institutions or individuals in the sincere conviction that they are doing noble work.

Nearly all these institutions are led by or originate with propagandists who invariably are not too fond of work in the general sense, and who usually manage their own affairs worse than anybody else. They rely upon their supporters among writers and speakers to provide them with ready-made propaganda. Then by control of the Press, Radio and commercial patronage the particular type of Utopia they envisage is then wildly propagated all of which involve the centralisation of Power and the subjugation of man, such as:

- The abolition of Private Property
- The classless state
- Liberty, Equality and Fraternity
- The dictatorship of the proletariat
- The breakdown of the Christian Religion
- The breakdown of Marriage
- A standardised education
- The elimination of individual initiative which may endanger the plans

You will wonder what we must do to prevent the development of a robot race. I would suggest, though it may appear startling at first, that all those institutions and organisations that arc so fond of appealing to your "public spirit" and "sacrifice" from individuals whose lives are usually one long sacrifice, should be ignored. Nor should you join the throaty folk who shout LIBERTY, EQUALITY AND FRATERNITY or such like abstractions.

You have to decide whether you are to be free in a world that is rapidly becoming one huge factory: governed in name only by a proletariat without any property whatever and controlled by Commissars.

Ask rather, what are your plans going to do to me? Can I kick your Inspectors out if I don't like them? Can I exercise my inborn right to contract out of your schemes if I don't like them? Do I get my coupons or not if I refuse to make atom bombs for Russian contractors, or warships for the Chinese Communists? It is a safe rule to find out first what your enemy wants you to do then do the opposite as Douglas suggests.

G. K. Chesterton put the question correctly when he wrote in "Heretics":

"Supposing that a great commotion arises in the street, something, let us say, about a lamp-post which many influential persons want to pull down. A grey clad monk who is the spirit of the Middle Ages, is approached on the matter and begins to say, in the arid manner of the Schoolmen,

'Let us first of all consider, my brethren, the value of Light...If Light be in itself good ---.'

At this point he is knocked down. All the people make a rush for the lamp post: the lamp post is down in ten minutes and they go about congratulating each other on their unmediaeval practicality.

But as things go on they do not work out so easily. Some people have pulled down the lamp-post because they wanted electric light: some because they wanted old iron: some because they wanted darkness, because their deeds were evil: some thought it was not much of a lamp post, some too much: some acted because they wanted to smash something: some because they wanted to smash municipal machinery. And there is a war in the night, no man knowing whom he strikes.

So, gradually and inevitably, today, tomorrow or the next day, there comes back the conviction that the monk was right after all: that all depends on what is the philosophy of Light. Only what might have been discussed under the gas lamp we must now discuss in the dark." And that, gentlemen, expresses the situation in the world today.

By means of revolutions, schisms, false education and frustration of the public by subversive means, some of which I have only lightly touched upon to-night, the enemies of the individual are committed to a policy of centralisation everywhere. If they succeed in their objective, individuality will be submerged and controlled as the hunter depends upon his knowledge of the animal's movements for the killing. After all liberty, the sight of grandeur, the inspiration of religion, the spirit of relief from unnatural restrictions if even for a few hours are better than being mechanised 365 days in the year.

It is for you to choose. Either we fail to recognise the enemy, though he be masquerading in sheep's clothing with utopian programmes in one hand and a dagger in the other and insist on decentralisation to the utmost of man's capacity, or we will be forced back to the level of helotry,* and darkness will again prevail in the world.

^{*} Serfdom or slavery*

VACCINES AND AUTISM: THE DEBATE CONTINUES by Mrs Vera West

Australian National Review.com, April 12, 2016, reports that the position of Dr Andrew Wakefield has been confirmed, that the MMR vaccine can cause autism. Dr Wakefield is quoted as saying: "Now this question has been answered not by me, but by the courts, by the vaccine courts in Italy and the United States of America where it appears that many children over the last 30 years have been awarded millions of dollars for the fact that they have been brain-damaged by the MMR vaccine and other vaccines and that a brain damage has led to autism. That is a fact".

In other words, if there was no basis to Dr Wakefield's claim about the MMR vaccine leading to autism then the courts would not have awarded damages.

Natural News.com, April 14, 2016, reports that some establishment celebrities are taking a more critical attitude towards vaccine orthodoxy. Robert De Niro has taken a stand and said on the *Today Show* that the Vaxxed documentary, about one of the CDC's own scientists

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Whyalla Steel is not Alone.

For decades Australian governments have waged a pointless war on industries producing carbon dioxide using taxes, emissions targets, direct action, harassment of coal and gas explorers and bankers, and promotion of expensive weather-dependent green energy. This has damaged all metals industries – iron and steel, copper, nickel, aluminium, lead and zinc.

It is not possible to produce these metals in Australia without using diesel for mining and transport, coking coal for blast furnaces, thermal coal or gas for reliable electricity for mineral processing, rail transport and refining, and fuel oil for bulk carriers. All of these operations produce carbon dioxide.

The choice is clear – abandon the futile war on carbon dioxide or sentence our metals industries to die. Our sacrifice will not reduce global emissions – our producers will just slink offshore.

Some greens dream of a zero-emissions world. Without rapid expansion of nuclear power and electric vehicles and machines, that would be a world without metals. And don't think plastics can replace metals in the new green world – plastics depend even more on coal and gas for raw materials and energy.

Without metals, some lucky humans may manage to revert to family farms, horse-drawn coaches, wind-mills, draught horses, bullock teams, sulkies, bark roofing, charcoal burners, wood stoves and kerosene lamps (oops not wood or kero – they release that demonised gas, carbon dioxide).

Any others who survive will live in metal-free poverty. - Viv Forbes, Rosewood, Qld ON TARGET involved in a medical cover-up, should be shown because it is time for the truth about vaccines to be known by the public. De Niro said: "There's a lot of information about things that are happening with the CDC, the pharmaceutical companies, there are a lot of things that are not said".

What is being alluded to here is covered in another Natural News.com article (April 8, 2016) "CDC is hiding a massive collection of damning scientific evidence proving vaccines are linked to autism... Shocking details from the recorded phone calls of Dr William Thompson". Dr Thompson, who was a top CDC scientist in 2014 confessed to covering-up data which did indicate a link between vaccines and autism. The article gives clear quotations about this.

I hope that if Australian anti-vaxxers proceed with a High Court challenge that they will draw upon this sort of evidence. People should have a right to dissent in the context of such deception. ***

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: --- http://alor.org/

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to – 'ALOR Journals'

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to --Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to-'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania:

Heritage Bookshop, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 (G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001). Phone: (03) 9600 0677

South Australia:

Heritage Book Mailing Service, P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: http://veritasbooks.com.au/

Note: The views expressed in these articles are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position or policy of the Australian League of Rights.